UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

F_{I}	L_{ED}
1700	LED Soffice
Date 2	Mass
Deputy	

VERMONT MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY) CIVIL ACTION
as subrogee of TIMOTHY D. STEIN and WAYNE ROLF) NO.
89 State Street)
Montpellier, VT 05601	
Plaintiff,	05 10307 JLT
v.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE, INC.	
35 Osgood Street	RECEIPT #
Somerville, MA 02143,	AMOUNT \$ 360 00 T
,	SUMMONS ISSUED 2
a n d	LOCAL RULE 4.1
	WAIVER FORM
MIN DANG, d/b/a	MCF ISSUED
DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE	BY DPTY, CLK M.C.
35 Osgood Street) DATE
Somerville, MA 02143,)
Defendants.)
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE 1983

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Vermont Mutual Insurance Company, by and through its counsel, Patrick J. Loftus, III, and Cozen O'Connor, upon information and belief, hereby avers, as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, Vermont Mutual Insurance Company [hereinafter "Vermont Mutual"], was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Vermont, with its principal place of business located in Montpelier, Vermont.

- 2. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff Vermont Mutual was engaged in the business of issuing property insurance and was duly authorized to issue policies of insurance within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 3. At all times material hereto, Defendant, David's Floor Service, Inc. was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, with its principal place of business in Somerville, Massachusetts.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Defendant Min Dang, was a resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, who did business as David's Floor Service.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The jurisdiction of this Court is proper pursuant to 28 USC §1332 as this action is between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00.
- 6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 USC §1391 as the events or omissions giving rise to the claims at issue occurred within this district and defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction within the district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. At all times material hereto, Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf owned the property located at 4 Foskett Street, Somerville, Massachusetts.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff Vermont Mutual insured Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf's property interests at 4 Foskett Street, Somerville, Massachusetts [the "property"].
- 9. At all times material hereto, Defendant David's Floor Service, Inc. and/or Ming Dang, d/b/a David's Floor Service [hereinafter collectively "David's Flooring"] was engaged in the business of installing and refinishing flooring.

- 10. Prior to September 2, 2004, Defendants David's Flooring provided flooring services and/or refinished flooring at the property.
- 11. On September 2, 2004, during defendants' flooring work, an explosion occurred, thereby causing extensive damage to the property.
- 12. Given the damage, Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf submitted a claim to Plaintiff Vermont Mutual and, pursuant to the terms and condition of its policy of insurance, Plaintiff Vermont Mutual has paid to Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf an amount in excess of \$75,000, representing the fair and reasonable value and cost of the resulting damage, as covered under the applicable policy.
- 13. To the extent of its payments, Plaintiff Vermont Mutual is subrogated to the rights of its insureds, Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf.

<u>COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE</u> DEFENDANT DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE, INC.

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates the proceeding paragraphs, by reference.
- 15. The explosion and fire were caused by the negligence, carelessness, recklessness and/or negligent omissions of Defendant David's Floor Service Inc., acting by and through its agents and employees, acting with the scope and course of their employment, in:
 - a) failing to safely and properly provide its services;
 - b) causing or allowing an excessive build-up of combustible vapors or fumes;
 - c) failing to prevent the creation of dangerous conditions during its services;
 - d) failing to provide proper and adequate warnings and instructions to its employees, client, and/or subcontractors;
 - e) failing to have properly trained and/or qualified employees, clients, and/or subcontractors;
 - f) otherwise causing or allowing the explosion/fire; and

- g) otherwise failing to use due care, as may be disclosed for the discovery process.
- 16. By reason of the above negligence, the explosion and fire occurred and resulted in extensive damage to the Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf's property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Vermont Mutual, as subrogee of Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf, demands judgment against Defendant David's Floor Service, Inc., in an amount in excess of \$75,000; together with interest, costs, reasonable attorney's fees, interest and such other relief as the court deems just and appropriate.

<u>COUNT II – NEGLIGENCE</u> <u>DEFENDANT MING DANG, d/b/a DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE</u>

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates the proceeding paragraphs, by reference.
- 18. The explosion and fire were caused by the negligence, carelessness, recklessness and/or negligent omissions of Defendant Ming Dang, d/b/a David's Floor Service, acting by and through its agents and employees, acting with the scope and course of their employment, in:
 - a) failing to safely and properly provide its services;
 - b) causing or allowing an excessive build-up of combustible vapor or fumes;
 - c) failing to prevent the creation of dangerous conditions during its services;
 - d) failing to provide proper and adequate warnings and instructions to its employees, client, and/or subcontractors;
 - e) failing to have properly trained and/or qualified employees, clients, and/or subcontractors;
 - f) otherwise causing or allowing the explosion/fire; and
 - g) otherwise failing to use due care, as may be disclosed for the discovery process.

19. By reason of the above negligence, the explosion and fire occurred and resulted in extensive damage to the Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf's property.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Vermont Mutual, as subrogee of Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf, demands judgment against Defendant Ming Dang, d/b/a David's Floor Service, in an amount in excess of \$75,000; together with interest, costs, reasonable attorney's fees, interest and such other relief as the court deems just and appropriate.

Plaintiff Vermont Mutual Insurance Company a/s/o Timothy D. Stein and Wayne Rolf, By Its Attorneys,

PATRICK LLOFTE'S, IFF, ESQUIR

BBO #303310

No. 9 Park Street

Suite 500

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 723-7770

CO-COUNSEL:
James P. Cullen, Jr., Esquire
COZEN O'CONNOR
1900 Market Street
The Atrium - Third Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 665-4102

1.	TITLE (DE CASE	NAME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH SIDE ONLY) VERMONT MUTUAL INSURANCE
			also TIMOTHY D. STEIN and WAYNE POLF V. DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE, INC.
2.			WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUMBERED NATURE OF SUIT CODE LISTED ON THE CIVIL
•	_	1.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT. 10307 JLT
		n.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 625, 711, 726, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820, 830, 840, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.
•	V .		110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, FILED
	\triangle	П.	315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, By Deputy Clerk
	_	v.	150, 152, 153.
3.	TITLE	and nui	MBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(E)).
4.	HAS A 	PRIOR A	CTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COURT?
5.	DOES ?	THE COM	APLAINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
		CINTER	
			J.S.A. OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OR EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. A PARTY? (SEE 28 USC 2403)
6.	28 USC		EQUIRED TO BE HEARD AND DETERMINED BY A DISTRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TITLE
7.	DO AL	<u>L</u> PARTI	ES IN THIS ACTION RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION OF THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS (WORCESTE)
		_	E LOCAL RULE 40.1(C)). YES OR IN THE WESTERN SECTION (BERKSHIRE
	FRANI	CLIN, HA	MPDEN OR HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES)? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)). YES NO
8.	DO 41	LOFTE	IE PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN SECTIONS OF THE
٥.	DISTR		YES (a) IF YES, IN WHICH SECTION DOE
			FRESIDE? <u>Eastern</u> (Middlesex Cty)
9.	IN WE	IICH SEC	TION DO THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE? SOMEWITE, MA
10			E PARTIES ARE THE UNITED STATES, COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY GOVERNMENTA
	AGEN		HE U.S.A. OR THE COMMONWEALTH, DO ALL OTHER PARTIES RESIDE IN THE CENTRAL SECTION WESTERN SECTION
•		YPE OR Y'S NAM	E PHTRICK-J. LOFTUS, III
A	DDRESS_	9 PA	PY-STREET, SUITESOD BOSTON, MA 02108
T	ELEPHO	NE NO	617-723-7770
(C	ategory.f	rsa - 09/9:	2)

JS 44 (Rev. 07/89)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS VERMONT MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY also TIMOTHY D. STEIN and WAYNE POLF				DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE, INC. and MIN DANG Upla DAVID'S FLOOR SERVICE					
C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM PATRICK J. LO LAW OFFICES 9 PARK-ST. S BOSTON, M.P	FTVS, III OF PATRICKS SUITE 500		70	ATTO STORES	(nown)	0	301	<u> </u>	
II. BASIS OF JURIS	SDICTION (PLACE	AN × IN ONE BOX ONLY)		ITIZENSHIP or Diversity Cases C		RINCIPA	L PARTIES	PLA	CE AN x IN ONE BOX IOX FOR DEFENDANT)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff □ 2 U.S. Government Defendant □ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		nent Not a Party)	Citizen Citizen	of This State of Another State or Subject of a ign Country	PTF DE	PTF DEF 1 X 1 Incorpora of Busi		d or Principal Place 4 4 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
V. NATURE OF SUI	J8 USC T (PLACE AN × IN ONL	E BOX ONLY)		FORFEITURE /PENAL	тү	BANK	RUPTCY		R STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Regotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Labilty 320 Assauft, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injur Med Malpracti 365 Personal Injur Product Liabilt 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		000 000	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizu Property 21 USC 530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R & Truck 550 Airline Regs 660 Occupational	re of 881	□ 423 With 28 U PROPER □ 820 Cop	SC 158 drawal SC 157 TY RIGHTS yrights	☐ 410 A ☐ 430 B ☐ 450 C ☐ 460 C	eapportionment ntitrust anks and Banking ommerce/ICC Rates/etc.
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product □ Jabrity □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage Property Camage Product Liability	0 0	Safety/Health 590 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standar Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.		□ 861 HIA	emark SECURITY . (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	□ 850 s □ 875 d □ 891 A □ 892 s	Selective Service Securities/Commodities/ Exchange Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 Agricultural Acts Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Ton Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Weffare 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Other		Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDE □ 870		. TAX SUITS es (U.S. Ptaintiff Defendant) — Third Party USC 7609	□ 894 g □ 895 g □ 900 / □ 950 d	Environmental Matters Energy Allocation Act Freedom of Information Act Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice Constitutionality of State Statutes Other Statutory Actions
VI. ORIGIN Original Proceeding	2 Removed from ::	(PLACE AN × 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Rei	•	Transferre another of (specify)		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation		Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VII. REQUESTED COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	A CLASS ACTION	N	DEMAN	ID \$		Check YES only		in complaint: YES □ NO
VIII. RELATED CA	ASE(S) (See instruction	s):	UDGE .			DC	OCKET NUMBER_		
DATE	SIGNAT	WAE DE ATTOMEN OF	RECO	AD					